1,2-Dioxetanes from Vinyl Aromatics

Gary H. Posner,*[†] Moshe Weitzberg,[†] William M. Nelson,^{†,‡} Brown L. Murr,[†] and Howard H. Seliger^{*‡}

> Departments of Chemistry and Biology The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218 Received August 25, 1986

Aryldioxetanes are usually difficult to prepare via direct dioxygenation of 1-arylalkenes.¹ Singlet molecular oxygen $({}^{1}O_{2})$ generated chemically (NaOCl + H_2O_2) or photochemically ($^{3}O_2$ + sensitizer + $h\nu$) does react with 1-arylalkenes, but the major reaction pathway involves a 2 + 4 cycloaddition leading to an endoperoxide rather than a 2 + 2 cycloaddition leading to a 1,2-dioxetane.^{2,3} We have proposed that a benzo[a]pyrenyldioxetane is a minor but characteristic chemiluminescent intermediate formed during the microsomal metabolism of the carcinogenic benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dihydrodiol (BP-diol).⁴ We also have synthesized as BP-diol model compounds cis- and trans-1-(2'-methoxyvinyl)pyrene (c- and t-MVP, 1) that cannot react with ${}^{1}O_{2}$ via an ene process.⁵ MVP does react directly with ${}^{1}O_{2}$, but the 2 + 2 cycloaddition pathway leads (via the corresponding dioxetane 2) to 1-pyrenecarboxaldehyde (3) in only 10% yield.⁵ We report now that triphenyl phosphite ozonide [(PhO)₃PO₃, TPPO]⁶ and triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide (Et₃SiOOOH),⁷ each prepared in situ from ozone, react at low temperature directly with MVP to produce pyrenyldioxetane 2 and then 1-pyrenecarboxaldehyde (3) on preparative scale in 20% and 34% yields, respectively (eq 1). These results demonstrate for the first time



(1) the superiority of TPPO and triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide over free ${}^{1}O_{2}$ for preparation of an aryldioxetane and (2) a direct reaction of triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide with an alkene.

In methylene chloride solvent, TPPO at about -25 °C and triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide at about -60 °C release ${}^{1}O_{2}$.^{6,7} Several diagnostic mechanistic experiments have been used to distinguish between oxygenation by free ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and oxygenation by direct, low-temperature reaction with TPPO. Schaap and Bartlett showed that, whereas ${}^{1}O_{2}$ reacted with *cis*- and with *trans*-1,2-diethoxyethylene stereospecifically (i.e., concerted 2 + 2 cycloaddition), TPPO reacted at -78 °C nonstereospecifically (i.e., via a stepwise mechanism involving direct reaction with TPPO);⁸ in the absence of an electron-rich olefin, TPPO is stable at -78 °C and does not

[†]Department of Chemistry.

New York, 1985; part 3, Chapter 4. (2) For reviews of ¹O₂ chemistry, see: (a) Denny, R. W. Nickon, A. Org. React. **1973**, 20, 133; (b) Singlet Oxygen; Wasserman, H. H., Murray, R. W., Eds.; Academic: New York, 1979. (c) Singlet O₂; Frimer, A., Ed.; CRC: Boca Raton, FL, 1985.

(3) (a) Foote, C. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 104.
 (b) Foote, C. S.;
 Mazur, S.; Burns, P. A.; Lerdal, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 586.
 (4) Thompson, A.; Biggley, W. H.; Posner, G. H.; Lever, J. R.; Seliger,

H. H. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1986, 882, 210 and references therein.

(5) (a) Thompson, A.; Lever, J. R.; Canella, K. A.; Miura, K.; Posner, G. H.; Seliger, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4498 and references therein.
(b) Posner, G. H.; Barness, I.; Seliger, H. H.; Kaplan, D.; Biggley, W. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 1985, 22, 1023.

(6) For a review of TPPO, see: Murray, R. W. "Chemical Sources of

Singlet Oxygen"; ref 1b; Chapter 3. (7) Corey, E. J.; Mehrotra, M. M.; Khan, A. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986,

108, 2472 and references therein

(8) Schaap, A. P.; Barlett, P. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6055.

produce ${}^{1}O_{2}$.⁶ Bartlett and Mendenhall showed that ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and TPPO at -78 °C have different selectivities toward tetramethylethylene and 2,5-dimethylfuran.⁹ Sam and Sutherland reported that ¹O₂ and TPPO at -70 °C gave different oxygenation products from the sesquiterpene germacrene.¹⁰ Barlett and Chu demonstrated that the relative rates of 2 + 2 vs. ene oxygenation of dihydropyran were different using ${}^{1}O_{2}$ vs. TPPO at low temperature.¹¹ Stephenson and Zielinski used variously deuterated tetramethylethylenes to show via isotope effects that direct lowtemperature TPPO-olefin reactions do not proceed through free $^{1}O_{2}$.

Low-temperature (-60 °C) 400-MHz ¹H NMR analysis of a reaction between TPPO (1.1 equiv) and a mixture of c- and t-MVP in CDCl₃ revealed formation of pyrenyldioxetane 2 (δ 5.92 and 5.32, which peaks are characteristic of alkoxy- and aryldioxetanes)1d and an equal amount of another oxygenation product that may be the corresponding endoperoxide (δ 6.39 and 5.68; these "doublet" peaks coalesce to singlets at -2.5 °C and form "doublets" again upon cooling).¹³ Upon warming the reaction mixture to room temperature, the characteristic dioxetane peaks at δ 5.92 and 5.32 disappeared, and a new aldehyde peak at δ 10.8 appeared, whereas the peaks at δ 6.39 and 5.68 remained. An internal NMR standard (mesitylene) indicated the pyrenyldioxetane 2 and ultimately the aldehyde 3 to be formed in 20% yield, along with 24% of unreacted MVP; no attempt was made to optimize consumption of MVP. Low-temperature ³¹P NMR spectroscopy of the reaction between TPPO and MVP showed only one product signal characteristic of triphenyl phosphate.8,11 Attempts to purify the reaction products chromatographically led, in all cases, to decomposition of the putative endoperoxide. Monitoring a low-temperature reaction between TPPO and MVP showed, upon warming to room temperature, a strong chemiluminescence (CL) characteristic of the excited state of 1-pyrenecarboxaldehyde. 45 Quantitative measurements of absolute photon yields indicated a significantly higher CL than that observed with free ${}^{1}O_{2}$; similar results were obtained using MVP and triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide.

Equation 2 is proposed as a reasonable working model for the



(2)

mechanisms of these dioxygenation reactions. Nucleophilic attack by the electron-rich methoxyvinyl group of MVP on the central oxygen atom of TPPO and triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide produces oxonium intermediates as zwitterion 4a in analogy to Schaap and Bartlett's proposal⁸ (or the corresponding perepoxide)¹⁴ and as ion pair 4b,15 respectively. Fragmentation of zwitterion 4a and acid-base reaction of ion pair 4b lead then to dioxetane 2. An

(13) The same NMR peaks were observed also starting with pure cis-MŶP

(15) cf.: Curci, R.; Mello, R.; Troisi, L. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 877.

0002-7863/87/1509-0278\$01.50/0 © 1987 American Chemical Society

[‡]Department of Biology.

⁽¹⁾ For general review of 1,2-dioxetanes, see: (a) Chemical and Biological Generation of Excited States; Adam, W., Cilento, G., Eds.; Academic: York, 1982. (b) Adam, W. In The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Peroxides; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1983. (c) Adam, W.; Baader, W. J.; Babatsikos, C.; Schmidt, E. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1984, 93, 605. (d) Adam, W.; Yang, F. In Small Ring Heterocycles, A. Hassner, Ed.; Wiley:

^{(9) (}a) Barlett, P. D.; Mendenhall, G. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 210.
(b) Cf.: Bartlett, P. D.; Mendenhall, G. D.; Durham, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4269.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Sam, T. W.; Sutherland, J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 424

⁽¹¹⁾ Bartlett, P. D.; Chu, H.-K. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3000 (12) Stephenson, L. M.; Zielinski, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104,

^{5819.}

⁽¹⁴⁾ cf.: Jefford, C. W.; Rimbault, C. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 64379

analogous mechanism involving radical¹¹ rather than ionic intermediates cannot be ruled out at this time.

1,1-Diphenyl-2-methoxyethylene reacted at low temperature also with TPPO and with triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide to produce benzophenone in 28% and 57% yields, respectively.^{16,17} Even vinyl aromatics not activated by methoxyl substituents were converted by triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide into the corresponding 1,2-dioxetanes; TPPO did not react detectably (¹H NMR) with simple 1-arylalkenes at -60 °C even after 3 days. For example, 25-40 mg each of 1-vinylpyrene, 1-vinylnaphthalene,¹⁸ and 2-vinylnaphthalene reacted with 1.8 equiv of triethylsilyl hydrotrioxide in methylene chloride (0.04 M),⁷ slowly warmed during several hours from -78 \rightarrow 25 °C and then kept at 25 °C for 12 h, to form the corresponding aromatic aldehydes (accompanied by CL during formation of 1-pyrenecarboxaldehyde) isolated by preparative TLC in 35%, 9%, and 23% yields (37%, 58%, and 59% yields based on recovered starting material), respectively. These results have both mechanistic and synthetic implications.

We are studying further the mechanism and the scope and limitations of these dioxetane-forming chemical reactions as well as biological applications.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the NIEHS (1-P01-ES-02300) is gratefully acknowledged. The NMR spectrometer used in this work was purchased with funds from the NIH (1 S10 RR0934) and from the NSF (DCM-83-03176).

(18) For ¹O₂ reaction with a vinyl aromatic proceeding apparently exclusively via an endoperoxide, see: (a) Matsumoto, M.; Kondo, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 3935. (b) Matsumoto, M.; Dobashi, S.; Kondo, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1977, 51, 185.

First Isolation of a Stable Aliphatic Thioaldehyde, Tris(trimethylsilyl)ethanethial

Renji Okazaki,* Akihiko Ishii, and Naoki Inamoto

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113, Japan Received September 15, 1986

The chemistry of thioaldehydes has been of current interest.¹⁻⁹ Although many stable thicketones have been synthesized and relatively well studied in recent years, thioaldehydes have eluded

 R.; Fukuda, N.; Oyama, H.; Inamoto, N. Chem. Lett. 1984, 101.
 (2) (a) Vedejs, E.; Perry, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1683. (b) Vedejs, E.; Perry, D. A.; Wilde, R. G. *Ibid.* **1986**, *108*, 2985. (c) Vedejs, E.; Eberlein, T. H.; Mazur, D. J.; McClure, C. K.; Perry, D. A.; Ruggeri, R.; Schwartz, E.; Stults, J. S.; Varie, D. L.; Wilde, R. G.; Wittenberger, S. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1556.

G. N. Ibid. 1984, 922, 1469.

(9) Block, E.; Ahmad, S.; Jain, M. K.; Crecely, R. W.; Apitz-Castro, R.; Cruz, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8295.

Scheme I



isolation until very recently because of their extremely high tendency toward oligomerization.¹⁰ We recently reported the first isolation of a stable aromatic thioaldehyde, 2,4,6-tri-tertbutylthiobenzaldehyde.¹ No aliphatic thioaldehyde, however, has been isolated so far although Vedejs and his co-workers have reported that thiopivaldehyde is relatively long-lived in solution (the half-life in chloroform at room temperature is 16 h).^{2a,b} We now report the first isolation of a stable, crystalline, aliphatic thioaldehyde, tris(trimethylsilyl)ethanethial (1) and its interesting reactivities.

The reaction of tris(trimethylsilyl)methyllithium (2),¹¹ obtained from tris(trimethylsilyl)methane¹² and methyllithium, with O-ethyl thioformate gave the thioaldehyde 1 $(16\%)^{13}$ and vinyl ether 3 (25%),^{14,15}

$$(Me_{3}Si)_{3}CLi + HCOEt \longrightarrow (Me_{3}Si)_{3}CCHS + Me_{3}Si_{3}CCHS + Me_{3}Si_{4}C=C$$

$$1$$

$$1$$

$$3$$

Thioaldehyde 1 is a pink-red crystalline compound (mp 129-131 °C¹⁶) and can be purified by chromatography and recrystallization. It can be stored in a refrigerator for a long time without any decomposition and is stable at room temperature in the air at least for a week. Some spectral data of 1 are listed in Table I.

Although 1 is stable at room temperature, it undergoes Brook-type rearrangement¹⁷ upon heating around 80 °C to give

(10) Organic Compounds of Sulphur, Selenium, and Tellurium; Specialist Periodical Reports; The Chemical Society: London, 1970-1981; Vol. 1-6. Voss, J. In Methoden der Organischen Chemie: Klamann, D. Ed.; George Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1985; Band 11, pp 188-194.
(11) Cook, M. A.; Eaborn, C.; Jukes, A. E.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 24, 529.

nomet. Chem. 1970, 24, 529.
(12) Merker, R. L.; Scott, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 2243.
(13) A typical procedure of the synthesis of 1 follows. O-Ethyl thioformate
(0.76 g, 8.5 mmol) was added to 2, prepared from tris(trimethylsilyl)methane¹²
(1.65 g, 7.08 mmol) and methyllithium (0.829 M solution of ether, 10.5 mL, 8.5 mmol) in THF (28 mL), at -78 °C. The pale yellow reaction solution was stirred for 10 min at -78 °C and for 1.5 h at room temperature. To the dark red solution were added aqueous ammonium chloride and ether. The dark red organic layer was separated, washed with brine 3 times, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Residual dark red liquid was subjected to chromatography (silica gel, hexane-dichloromethane 20:1). The first fraction was 694 mg of a mixture of tris(trimethylsilyl)methane (19%) and 5 (25%). The second fraction was 1 (306 mg, 1.10 mmol, 16%), which was recrystallized from pentane (0.4 mL) at -78 °C to give pink-red crystals. Exact mass for C11H28Si3S: 276.1219. Found: 276.1209. For spectra data, see Table I.

(14) The vinyl ether 3 is most probably produced by Peterson type reaction of i on silica gel.

(15) All new compounds gave satisfactory spectral data including exact mass analysis. For the details, see the supplementary material. (16) Decolorization due to the isomerization into 3 began gradually at ca.

70 °C on measuring the melting point.

^{(16) (}a) For ${}^{1}O_{2}$ reaction with 1,1-diphenyl-2-methoxyethylene, see: Steichen, D. S.; Foote, C. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 4363. (b) For electron-transfer photoxygenation, see: Steichen, D. S.; Foote, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1855. (c) cf.: Matsumoto, M.; Kuroda, K. Tetra-hedron Lett. 1979, 1607. (d) For other enol ethers reacting with ¹O₂, see: Asveld, E. W. H.; Kellogg, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3644. (17) Ozonolysis of 1,1-diphenyl-2-methoxyethylene apparently leads to dimerization and rearrangement: Nakamura, N.; Nojima, M.; Kusabayashi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4671 and references therein.

^{(1) (}a) Okazaki, R.; Ishii, A.; Fukuda, N.; Oyama, H.; Inamoto, N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1187. (b) Okazaki, R.; Ishii, A.; Fukuda, N.; Oyama, H.; Inamoto, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 849. (c) Okazaki,

⁽³⁾ Baldwin, J. E.; Lopez, R. C. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 1029; Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 1487.

⁽⁴⁾ Kirby, G. W.; Lochead, A. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1325. Bladon, C. M.; Ferguson, I. E. G.; Kirby, G. W.; Locheas, A. W.; McDougall, D. C. Ibid. 1983, 423. Kirby, G. W.; Lochead, A. W.; Sheldrake,

⁽⁵⁾ Krafft, G. A.; Meinke, P. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1947.

⁽⁶⁾ Schaumann, E.; Rühter, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5265.
(7) Seitz, G.; Mohr, R.; Overheu, W.; Allmann, R.; Nagel, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 890.
(8) Lee, L. F.; Dolson, M. G.; Howe, R. K.; Stults, B. R. J. Org. Chem.

^{1985, 50, 3216}